arXiv:1403.0696v1 [math.PR] 4 Mar 2014

ESCAPE RATES FOR MULTI-DIMENSIONAL SHIFT SELFSIMILAR ADDITIVE SEQUENCES

TOSHIRO WATANABE

Abstract First the relation between shift selfsimilar additive sequences and stationary sequences of Ornstein-Uhlenbeck type (OU type) on $\mathbb{R}^d$ is shown and then the rates of escape for shift selfsimilar additive sequences are discussed. As a corollary, fundamental problems on recurrence of stationary sequences of OU type are solved. Some applications to laws of the iterated logarithm for strictly stable Lévy processes on $\mathbb{R}^d$ and independent Brownian motions are given.

Keywords shift selfsimilar additive sequence · stationary sequence of OU type · b-decomposable distribution.

Mathematics Subject Classification(2010) 60G18 · 60G10 · 60G50

1. Introduction and main results

In what follows, denote the Euclidean inner product of z and x in $\mathbb{R}^d$ and the Euclidean norm of x by $\langle z, x\rangle$ and $|x|$, respectively. Let $\mathbb{Z} := \{0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots\}$, $\mathbb{Z}_+ := \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$, $\mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$, and $\mathbb{R}_+ := [0, \infty)$. The symbol $\delta_a(dx)$ stands for the delta measure at a in $\mathbb{R}^d$. For an $\mathbb{R}^d$-valued random variable X, let S_X be the support of the distribution of X. Denote the characteristic function of a probability distribution μ on $\mathbb{R}^d$ by $\widehat{\mu}(z)$, namely,

$$\widehat{\mu}(z) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{i\langle z,x\rangle}\mu(dx).$$

We use the words "increase" and "decrease" in the wide sense allowing flatness. For positive functions $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ on $(0, \varepsilon)$ for some $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, we define the relation $f(x) \sim g(x)$ as $x \to 0+$ by $\lim_{x\to 0+} f(x)/g(x) = 1$ and the relation $f(x) \asymp g(x)$ by $0 < \liminf_{x\to 0+} f(x)/g(x) \leqslant \limsup_{x\to 0+} f(x)/g(x) < \infty$.

Definition 1.1. Let $a > 1$. A random sequence $\{W(n), n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ on $\mathbb{R}^d$ is called a *shift a-selfsimilar additive sequence* if the following hold.

(1) The sequence $\{W(n)\}$ is shift a-selfsimilar, that is,

$$\{W(n+1), n \in \mathbb{Z}\} \stackrel{\mathrm{d}}{=} \{aW(n), n \in \mathbb{Z}\},$$

where $\stackrel{\mathrm{d}}{=}$ denotes the equality in finite-dimensional distributions.

(2) The sequence $\{W(n)\}$ has independent increments, that is, for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\{W(k), k \leqslant n\}$ and $W(n+1) - W(n)$ are independent.

T. Watanabe: Center for Mathematical Sciences The Univ. of Aizu. Aizu-Wakamatsu 965-8580, Japan.
e-mail: t-watanb@u-aizu.ac.jp

Definition 1.2. Let $0 < b < 1$. A probability distribution μ on $\mathbb{R}^d$ is said to be *b-decomposable* if there exists a probability distribution ρ on $\mathbb{R}^d$ such that

$$\widehat{\mu}(z) = \widehat{\mu}(bz)\widehat{\rho}(z). \tag{1.1}$$

Definition 1.3. A probability distribution ζ on $\mathbb{R}^d$ is said to be *full* if its support is not contained in any hyperplane in $\mathbb{R}^d$.

The decomposition (1.1) is equivalent to

$$\widehat{\mu}(z) = \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \widehat{\rho}(b^n z).$$

The infinite product above converges if and only if ρ has finite log-moment, namely,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \log(2 + |x|)\rho(dx) < \infty. \tag{1.2}$$

See Lemma 1 of Bunge [2]. The distribution μ is uniquely determined by ρ, but ρ is not always uniquely determined by μ. If the support of ρ is a compact set, then ρ is uniquely determined by μ.

Remark 1.1. The author characterized the shift a-selfsimilar additive sequence in Theorem 2.1 of [20] as follows.

(i) Let $\{W(n), n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ be an $\mathbb{R}^d$-valued shift a-selfsimilar additive sequence. Let μ and ρ be the distributions of $W(0)$ and $W(0) - W(-1)$, respectively. Then μ is a b-decomposable distribution satisfying (1.1) with $b = a^{-1}$.

(ii) Conversely, if a probability distribution ρ with finite log-moment is given, then there is a unique (in law) shift a-selfsimilar additive sequence $\{W(n), n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ such that ρ is the distribution of $W(0) - W(-1)$. That is, for every a^{-1}-decomposable distribution μ on $\mathbb{R}^d$, there is a (not necessarily unique in law) shift a-selfsimilar additive sequence $\{W(n), n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ such that μ is the distribution of $W(0)$.

Definition 1.4. Let $a > 1$. A random sequence $\{Y(n), n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ on $\mathbb{R}^d$ is called a *stationary sequence of Ornstein-Uhlenbeck type* (OU type in short) with parameter a if the following hold.

(1) The sequence $\{Y(n)\}$ is stationary, that is,

$$\{Y(n+1), n \in \mathbb{Z}\} \stackrel{\mathrm{d}}{=} \{Y(n), n \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

(2) For every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\{Y(k), k \leqslant n\}$ and $Y(n+1) - a^{-1}Y(n)$ are independent.

Definition 1.5. Let $\{Y(n), n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ be a stationary sequence of OU type on $\mathbb{R}^d$ with parameter a. A point $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is a *recurrent point* for $\{Y(n)\}$ if

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} |Y(n) - x| = 0 \text{ a.s..}$$

We define a class $\mathbb{G}$ as the totality of positive decreasing sequences $g(n)$ on $\mathbb{Z}_+$. A recurrent point x is of *type A* for $\{Y(n)\}$ if there exists $g \in \mathbb{G}$ such that

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} \frac{|Y(n) - x|}{g(n)} = 1 \text{ a.s..} \tag{1.3}$$

A recurrent point x is of *type B* for $\{Y(n)\}$ if there exists no $g \in \mathbb{G}$ satisfying (1.3).

Definition 1.6. Let $f(r)$ be a nonnegative increasing function on $(0,1)$. The function $f(r)$ is called of *dominated variation* as $r \to 0+$ if it is positive on $(0,\varepsilon)$ for some $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$ and if $\limsup_{r\to 0+} f(2r)/f(r) < \infty$, that is, $f(2r) \asymp f(r)$ as $r \to 0+$.

Throughout the paper, let $a > 1$ and we assume that the distributions of $W(0)$ and $Y(0)$ are full on $\mathbb{R}^d$. Motivated by the results of Maejima and Sato [11] and Pruitt [13], the author [21] introduced the shift selfsimilar additive sequences and started the research on the asymptotic behaviors of those sequences. Main problems on limsup behaviors for those sequences were solved by [21]. Following this, he gave applications to laws of the iterated logarithm for Brownian motions on nested fractals and to determining the exact Hausdorff and packing measures of random fractals on Galton-Watson trees in a series of papers [22, 23, 24]. In this paper, we achieve the study on the rates of escape (liminf behaviors) for multi-dimensional shift selfsimilar additive sequences. At the same time, basic problems on recurrence of stationary sequences of OU type are discussed. Our main results are as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\{W(n)\}$ be a shift a-selfsimilar additive sequence on $\mathbb{R}^d$.*

(i) *Let $D := \inf_{y\in S_{W(0)}} |y|$. Then we have*

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} \frac{|W(n)|}{a^n} = D \text{ a.s..}$$

(ii) *There exists $g \in \mathbb{G}$ such that*

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} \frac{|W(n)|}{a^n g(n)} = 1 \text{ a.s.} \tag{1.4}$$

if and only if $P(|W(0)| \leqslant r)$ is not of dominated variation as $r \to 0+$.

Corollary 1.1. *Let $\{Y(n)\}$ be a stationary sequence of OU type on $\mathbb{R}^d$ with parameter a.*

(i) *Let $D(x, S_{Y(0)}) := \inf_{y\in S_{Y(0)}} |x-y|$. Then we have*

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} |Y(n)-x| = D(x, S_{Y(0)}) \text{ a.s..}$$

Thus a point $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is a recurrent point for $\{Y(n)\}$ if and only if $x \in S_{Y(0)}$.

(ii) *A recurrent point x is of type A for $\{Y(n)\}$ if and only if $P(|Y(0)-x| \leqslant r)$ is not of dominated variation as $r \to 0+$.*

Proposition 1.1. *Let $\{W(n)\}$ be a shift a-selfsimilar additive sequence on $\mathbb{R}^d_+$. Then there exists $g \in \mathbb{G}$ satisfying (1.4) if and only if $P(|W(0)-W(-1)| = 0) = 0$.*

Theorem 1.2. *Let $\{W(n)\}$ be a shift a-selfsimilar additive sequence on $\mathbb{R}^d$. Suppose that $F(r) := P(|W(0)| \leqslant r)$ is of dominated variation as $r \to 0+$. Let $g \in \mathbb{G}$. If*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} F(g(n)) = \infty \quad (\text{resp.} \quad < \infty),$$

then

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} \frac{|W(n)|}{a^n g(n)} = 0 \quad (\text{resp.} \quad = \infty) \quad \text{a.s..} \tag{1.5}$$

Corollary 1.2. *Let $\{Y(n)\}$ be a stationary sequence of OU type on $\mathbb{R}^d$ with parameter a. Suppose that $x \in S_{Y(0)}$ is of type B for $\{Y(n)\}$ and hence $F_x(r) := P(|Y(0)-x| \leqslant r)$ is of dominated variation as $r \to 0+$. Let $g \in \mathbb{G}$. If*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} F_x(g(n)) = \infty \quad (\text{resp.} \quad < \infty),$$

then

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} \frac{|Y(n)-x|}{g(n)} = 0 \quad (\text{resp.} \quad = \infty) \quad \text{a.s..} \tag{1.6}$$

Under the assumption that $\lambda := P(|W(0) - W(-1)| = 0) > 0$, define a Laplace transform $L_W(u)$ for $u \geqslant 0$ as

$$L_W(u) := E(\exp(-u|W(0) - W(-1)|))$$

and a regularly varying function $K_W(r)$ on $(0, 1]$ with index $-\log\lambda/\log a$ as $r \to 0+$ as

$$K_W(r) := r^{-\log\lambda/\log a} \exp\Big(\int_1^{r^{-1}} \frac{\log L_W(u) - \log\lambda}{u \log a} du\Big).$$

Proposition 1.2. *Let $\{W(n)\}$ be a shift a-selfsimilar additive sequence on $\mathbb{R}^d_+$. Assume that $P(|W(0) - W(-1)| = 0) > 0$. Let $g \in \mathbb{G}$. If*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} K_W(g(n) \wedge 1) = \infty \quad (\text{resp.} \quad < \infty),$$

then (1.5) *holds.*

In Sect. 2, we discuss the series representation of $\{W(n)\}$ and $\{Y(n)\}$. In Sect. 3, we prove the main results stated in Sect. 1. In Sect. 4 and Sect. 5, we give some applications of main results to laws of the iterated logarithm for strictly stable Lévy processes and independent Brownian motions, respectively. We shall discuss the rate of access of $\{Y(n)\}$ for recurrent points in a forthcoming paper.

2. Series representation of $\{W(n)\}$ and $\{Y(n)\}$

Following Sato [14, 15], we define a Sato process. Moreover, we add the definition of a process of OU type.

Definition 2.1. Let $H > 0$. A stochastic process $\{X(t), t \in \mathbb{R}_+\}$ is called a *Sato process* with exponent H if the following hold.

(1) $\{X(t), t \in \mathbb{R}_+\}$ is *H-selfsimilar* with exponent H, that is, for every $c > 0$

$$\{X(ct), t \in \mathbb{R}_+\} \overset{\mathrm{d}}{=} \{c^H X(t), t \in \mathbb{R}_+\}.$$

(2) $\{X(t), t \in \mathbb{R}_+\}$ has independent increments.

(3) $\{X(t), t \in \mathbb{R}_+\}$ is stochastically continuous with càdlàg paths.

Definition 2.2. Let $\{Z(t), t \in \mathbb{R}_+\}$ be a Lévy process on $\mathbb{R}^d$. A stochastic process $\{U(t), t \in \mathbb{R}_+\}$ is called a *process of OU type* with initial state $U(0)$ with parameter $c > 0$ if it is the solution of

$$U(t) = U(0) + Z(t) - c\int_0^t U(s)ds,$$

which is

$$U(t) = e^{-ct}(U(0) + \int_0^t e^{cs}dZ(s)).$$

Let $b > 1$. Then the sequence $\{X(b^n), n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ for a Sato process $\{X(t), t \in \mathbb{R}_+\}$ with exponent H is a shift b^H-selfsimilar additive sequence. Every stationary process $\{U(t)\}$ of OU type for $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ with parameter c can be extended to the stationary process $\{U(t)\}$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}^1$. Its periodic observation $\{U(n), n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is a stationary sequence of OU type with parameter e^c. There is a one to one correspondence between stationary processes of OU type and Sato processes through the Lamperti transformation. Moreover, those two processes are represented by integrals of Lévy processes. Refer to Jeanblanc et al. [7] and also to Maejima and Sato [12]. In this section, we give discrete time analogue of those facts.

Proposition 2.1. *There is a one to one correspondence between a stationary sequence $\{Y(n)\}$ of OU type with parameter a and a shift a-selfsimilar additive sequence $\{W(n)\}$ through the Lamperti transformation :*

$$W(n) = a^n Y(n). \tag{2.1}$$

Proof Let $\{W(n)\}$ and $\{Y(n)\}$ be two random sequences satisfying the Lamperti transformation (2.1). Then $\{W(n)\}$ has shift a-selfsimilarity if and only if $\{Y(n)\}$ has stationarity. Moreover, for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\{W(k), k \leqslant n\}$ and $W(n+1) - W(n)$ are independent if and only if, for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\{Y(k), k \leqslant n\}$ and $Y(n+1) - a^{-1}Y(n)$ are independent. Thus the theorem has been proved. □

Remark 2.1. By virtue of the above proposition and Remark 1.1, the stationary sequence of OU type with parameter a is characterized as follows.

(i) Let $\{Y(n), n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ be an $\mathbb{R}^d$-valued stationary sequence of OU type with parameter a. Let μ and ρ be the distributions of $Y(0)$ and $Y(0) - a^{-1}Y(-1)$, respectively. Then μ is a b-decomposable distribution satisfying (1.1) with $b = a^{-1}$.

(ii) Conversely, if a probability distribution ρ with finite log-moment is given, then there is a unique (in law) stationary sequence $\{Y(n), n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ of OU type with parameter a such that ρ is the distribution of $Y(0) - a^{-1}Y(-1)$. That is, for every a^{-1}-decomposable distribution μ on $\mathbb{R}^d$, there is a (not necessarily unique in law) stationary sequence $\{Y(n), n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ of OU type with parameter a such that μ is the distribution of $Y(0)$.

The following lemma is due to (i) of Theorem 2.1 of Watanabe [21].

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\{W(n)\}$ be a shift a-selfsimilar additive sequence on $\mathbb{R}^d$. Then we have*

$$\lim_{n \to -\infty} |W(n)| = 0 \text{ a.s..}$$

The following lemma is due to Lemma 1 of Bunge [2].

Lemma 2.2. *Let $\{X_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$ be $\mathbb{R}^d$-valued i.i.d. ramdom variables. Then the sum $\sum_{j=-\infty}^{0} a^j X_j$ is convergent almost surely if and only if X_0 has finite log-moment, that is,*

$$E(\log(|X_0|+2)) < \infty.$$

Theorem 2.1. *Let $\{W(n)\}$ be a shift a-selfsimilar additive sequence on $\mathbb{R}^d$. Define random variables $\{X_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$ as*

$$X_n := a^{-n}(W(n) - W(n-1)).$$

Then $\{X_n\}$ are $\mathbb{R}^d$-valued i.i.d. ramdom variables with X_0 having finite log-moment. The sequence $\{W(n)\}$ is expressed almost surely as

$$W(n) = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{n} a^j X_j. \tag{2.2}$$

Conversely, let $\{X_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$ be an $\mathbb{R}^d$-valued i.i.d. ramdom variables with X_0 having finite log-moment. Then the sequence $\{W(n)\}$ defined by (2.2) is a shift a-selfsimilar additive sequence.

Proof Let $X_n := a^{-n}(W(n) - W(n-1))$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then, by the shift a-selfsimilarity of $\{W(n)\}$, we see that $\{X_n\}$ are identically distributed. Moreover, by the independence of increments, $\{X_n\}$ are independent. Thus $\{X_n\}$ are i.i.d.. We have, for $k \geqslant 1$,

$$W(n) - W(n-k) = \sum_{j=n-k+1}^{n} a^j X_j.$$

Thus we obtain (2.2) from Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2 and see that X_0 has finite log-moment. The converse statement is obviously true. □

We obtain the following corollary directly from Theorem 2.1 and Proposition 2.1, and thereby its proof is omitted.

Corollary 2.1. *Let $\{Y(n)\}$ be a stationary sequence of OU type with parameter a on $\mathbb{R}^d$. Define random variables $\{X_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$ as*

$$X_n := Y(n) - a^{-1}Y(n-1).$$

Then $\{X_n\}$ are $\mathbb{R}^d$-valued i.i.d. ramdom variables with X_0 having finite log-moment and the sequence $\{Y(n)\}$ is expressed almost surely as

$$Y(n) = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{n} a^{j-n} X_j. \tag{2.3}$$

Conversely, let $\{X_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$ be an $\mathbb{R}^d$-valued i.i.d. ramdom variables with X_0 having finite log-moment. Then the sequence $\{Y(n)\}$ defined by (2.3) is a stationary sequence of OU type with parameter a.

Proposition 2.2. *Every stationary sequence $\{Y(n)\}$ of OU type is ergodic. It holds that, for every $\delta > 0$,*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} 1_{\{|Y(k)-x|\leqslant\delta\}} = P(|Y(0) - x| \leqslant \delta) \text{ a.s.}$$

and

$$\lim_{n\to-\infty} \frac{1}{|n|} \sum_{k=n}^{-1} 1_{\{|Y(k)-x|\leqslant\delta\}} = P(|Y(0) - x| \leqslant \delta) \text{ a.s..}$$

Proof The first assertion follows from Propositions 3.1 and 3.3 of Breiman [1] and Corollary 2.1. The second assertion is clear by virtue of the ergodic theorem for stationary sequences. □

3. Proofs of main results

In this section, let $a > 1$ and $\{W(n)\}$ be a shift a-selfsimilar additive sequence on $\mathbb{R}^d$. We establish the results on the rate of escape for $\{W(n)\}$. Corolleries 1.1 and 1.2 for stationary sequences $\{Y(n)\}$ of OU type can be proved by letting $W(n) := a^n(Y(n) - x)$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus their proofs are omitted. We define a class $\mathbb{G}_1$ of sequences $g(n)$ as

$$\mathbb{G}_1 := \{g(n) : g(n) \in \mathbb{G}, g(n+1)/g(n) \geqslant \gamma^{-1} \text{ for some } \gamma \in (1, a) \text{ on } \mathbb{Z}_+\}.$$

Let $b = a^{-1}$. Define functions $H_b(u)$ for $u \geqslant 0$ and $I(c)$ for $c > 0$ as

$$H_b(u) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (1 - \cos(b^k u))$$

and

$$I(c) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_0^{2\pi} \exp(c \sum_{k=0}^{n} (\cos(a^k u) - 1)) du.$$

Lemma 3.1. *Let $g \in \mathbb{G}$. If $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P(|W(0)| \leqslant g(n)) < \infty$, then*

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} \frac{|W(n)|}{a^n g(n)} \geqslant 1 \text{ a.s..} \tag{3.1}$$

Proof Suppose that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P(|W(0)| \leqslant g(n)) < \infty$. Then we have by the shift selfsimilarity

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P(|W(n)| \leqslant a^n g(n)) < \infty.$$

By virtue of the Borel-Cantelli lemma, we have (3.1). □

The following lemma is due to (i) of Lemma 3.3 of Watanabe [21].

Lemma 3.2. *We have $I(c) < \infty$ for every $c > 0$.*

Lemma 3.3. *We have for every $\delta > 0$*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P(|W(n)| \leqslant \delta) < \infty. \tag{3.2}$$

Proof Let μ and ρ be the distributions of $W(0)$ and $W(0)-W(-1)$, respectively. Let $\bar{\rho}$ be the reflection of ρ, that is, $\bar{\rho}(dx) := \rho(-dx)$ and $\rho * \bar{\rho}$ be the convolution of ρ and $\bar{\rho}$. We find from Lemma 5 of [20] that ρ and also $\rho * \bar{\rho}$ are full on $\mathbb{R}^d$. Thus there exist disjoint closed balls D_j for $1 \leqslant j \leqslant d$ in $\mathbb{R}^d$ such that $C_j := \rho * \bar{\rho}(D_j) > 0$ and, for any choice of $x_j \in D_j$, $\{x_j\}_{j=1}^d$ is a basis of $\mathbb{R}^d$. Let $x_j = {}^t(x_{1j}, x_{2j}, \ldots, x_{dj})$ and define a real $d \times d$ matrix X as $X = (x_{ij})$. Clearly, if $x_j \in D_j$ for $1 \leqslant j \leqslant d$, then $\det X \neq 0$. Choose $a_0 > 0$ such that $\sup\{a_0|x_j| : x_j \in D_j \text{ for } 1 \leqslant j \leqslant d\} < 2\pi$. Let $b = a^{-1}$. Note that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \cos\langle z, x\rangle \rho * \bar{\rho}(dx) = |\widehat{\rho}(z)|^2 \geqslant 0$. Using the inequality $x \leqslant e^{x-1}$ for $x \geqslant 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|\widehat{\mu}(z)|^2 &= \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \cos\langle b^k z, x\rangle \rho * \bar{\rho}(dx) \\
&\leqslant \exp\Big(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\cos\langle b^k z, x\rangle - 1) \rho * \bar{\rho}(dx)\Big) \\
&= \exp\Big(-\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} H_b(|\langle z, x\rangle|) \rho * \bar{\rho}(dx)\Big).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, applying Jensen's inequality and changing variables as $\langle z, x_j\rangle = u_j$ for $1 \leq j \leq d$, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_{|z| \leqslant a_0} |\widehat{\mu}(a^n z)| dz \\
&\leqslant \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_{|z| \leqslant a_0} \exp\{-2^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} H_b(|\langle a^n z, x\rangle|) \rho * \bar{\rho}(dx)\} dz \\
&\leqslant \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_{|z| \leqslant a_0} \exp\{-2^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{d} \int_{D_j} H_b(|\langle a^n z, x_j\rangle|) \rho * \bar{\rho}(dx_j)\} dz \\
&\leqslant \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_{|z| \leqslant a_0} dz \prod_{j=1}^{d} C_j^{-1} \int_{D_j} \exp\{-2^{-1} C_j H_b(|\langle a^n z, x_j\rangle|)\} \rho * \bar{\rho}(dx_j) \\
&\leqslant (\prod_{j=1}^{d} C_j^{-1})(4\pi)^{d-1} 2 I(2^{-1} C_1) \int_{D_1 \times \cdots \times D_d} |\det X|^{-1} \prod_{j=1}^{d} \rho * \bar{\rho}(dx_j) < \infty.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

In the last inequality above, we used Lemma 3.2. Let $y = (y_j)_{j=1}^d \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Define a function $f_c(y)$ on $\mathbb{R}^d$ for $c > 0$ as

$$f_c(y) = \prod_{j=1}^{d} \left(\frac{2\sin(cy_j/2)}{cy_j}\right)^2$$

with the understanding that $(\sin 0)/0 = 1$. Then the Fourier transform $\widehat{f_c}(z)$ of $f_c(y)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{f_c}(z) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \exp(i\langle z, y\rangle) f_c(y) dy \\ &= (2\pi c^{-1})^d \prod_{j=1}^{d} (1 - c^{-1}|z_j|) 1_{[-c,c]}(z_j),\end{aligned}$$

where $1_{[-c,c]}(x)$ is the indicator function of the interval $[-c, c]$. We see from the Perseval's equality that

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E(f_c(W(n))) &= (2\pi)^{-d} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \widehat{\mu}(a^n z) \widehat{f_c}(-z) dz \\ &\leqslant c^{-d} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_{|z| \leq \sqrt{d}c} |\widehat{\mu}(a^n z)| dz.\end{aligned}$$

Hence we find that (3.3) implies that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E(f_c(W(n))) < \infty$ for any $c \in (0, a_0/\sqrt{d})$. Thus the lemma is true. □

Lemma 3.4. *We have for every $\delta > 0$ and $c > 1$*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P(|W(0)| \leqslant \delta c^{-n}) < \infty. \tag{3.4}$$

Proof We have by (3.2) of Lemma 3.3 and the shift selfsimilarity

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P(|W(0)| \leqslant \delta a^{-n}) < \infty.$$

Thus we see that for every $\delta > 0$

$$\int_0^1 P(|W(0)| \leqslant \delta x) \frac{dx}{x} < \infty.$$

Hence we have (3.4) for every $c > 1$ and $\delta > 0$. □

Lemma 3.5. *Let $g \in \mathbb{G}$. There exits $g^* \in \mathbb{G}_1$ such that $g(n) \leqslant g^*(n)$ on $\mathbb{Z}_+$ and, for every $\delta > 0$,*

$$\sum_{n \in J} P(|W(0)| \leqslant \delta g^*(n)) < \infty, \tag{3.5}$$

where $J := \{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ : g(n) < g^*(n)\}$.

Proof Fix $\gamma \in (1, a)$. We define $g^* \in \mathbb{G}_1$ inductively. First let $g^*(0) = g(0)$. Assume that $g^*(n)$ is defined for $0 \leqslant n \leqslant k$. If $g(k+1) < \gamma^{-1} g^*(k)$, then define $g^*(k+1) := \gamma^{-1} g^*(k)$. If $g(k+1) \geqslant \gamma^{-1} g^*(k)$, then define $g^*(k+1) := g(k+1)$. Thus $J = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : g(n) < \gamma^{-1} g^*(n-1)\}$. Hence we obtain from Lemma 3.4 that

$$\sum_{n \in J} P(|W(0)| \leqslant g^*(n)) \leqslant \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P(|W(0)| \leqslant \gamma^{-n} g(0)) < \infty.$$

Thus (3.5) is true. □

Lemma 3.6. *Let $g \in \mathbb{G}_1$. If $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P(|W(0)| \leqslant g(n)) = \infty$, then*

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} \frac{|W(n)|}{a^n g(n)} \leqslant 1 \text{ a.s..} \tag{3.6}$$

Proof Suppose that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P(|W(0)| \leqslant g(n)) = \infty.$$

Then we get by the shift selfsimilarity

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P(|W(n)| \leqslant a^n g(n)) = \infty. \tag{3.7}$$

Define events A_n and a sequence p_n with $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ as

$$A_n := \{\omega : |W(n)| \leqslant a^n g(n)\}$$

and

$$p_n := P(|W(j\ell) - W(n\ell)| > a^{j\ell} g(j\ell) + a^{n\ell} g(n\ell) \quad \text{for } \forall j \geqslant n+1).$$

We find from (3.7) that there is j with $0 \leqslant j \leqslant \ell - 1$ such that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P(A_{n\ell+j}) = \infty. \tag{3.8}$$

Without loss of generality, we can assume that $j = 0$. We have

$$1 \geqslant P(\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} A_{n\ell}) \geqslant \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P((\bigcup_{j=n+1}^{\infty} A_{j\ell})^c \cap A_{n\ell}) \geqslant \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P(A_{n\ell}) p_n. \tag{3.9}$$

In the last inequality above, we used inequalities $|W(j\ell)| \geqslant |W(j\ell) - W(n\ell)| - |W(n\ell)|$ for $j \geqslant n+1$ and then the independence of increments. We see from the shift selfsimilarity that

$$p_n = P(|W(k\ell) - W(0)| > a^{k\ell} g((n+k)\ell) + g(n\ell) \quad \text{for } \forall k \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Since $g(n)$ is decreasing, we find that p_n is increasing and hence see from (3.8) and (3.9) that $p_n = 0$ for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Choose $\varepsilon > 0$ arbitrarily. Define events B_n and C_n and a sequence q_n for $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ as

$$B_n := \{\omega : |W(n\ell)) - W(0)| \leqslant (1+\varepsilon) a^{n\ell} g(n\ell)\},$$

$$C_n := \{\omega : |W((n+k)\ell) - W(0)| > (1+\varepsilon) a^{(n+k)\ell} g((n+k)\ell) \quad \text{for } \forall k \in \mathbb{N}\},$$

and

$$q_n := P(B_n \cap C_n).$$

Let $a_{n,k} := a^{k\ell} g((n+k)\ell) - g(n\ell)$ and $b_{n,k} := a^{k\ell} g((n+k)\ell) + g(n\ell)$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Note from the definition of the class $\mathbb{G}_1$ that $a^{\ell} g((n+1)\ell)/g(n\ell) \geqslant (a/\gamma)^{\ell}$

with some $\gamma \in (1, a)$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, we can choose $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ sufficiently large such that $(1+\varepsilon)a_{n,k} \geqslant b_{n,k}$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. By using inequalities

$$|W((n+k)\ell) - W(n\ell)| \geqslant |W((n+k)\ell) - W(0)| - |W(n\ell)) - W(0)|$$

for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} q_n &\leqslant P(|W((n+k)\ell) - W(n\ell)| > (1+\varepsilon)a^{n\ell}a_{n,k} \text{ for } \forall k \in \mathbb{N}) \\ &= P(|W(k\ell) - W(0)| > (1+\varepsilon)a_{n,k} \text{ for } \forall k \in \mathbb{N}) \\ &\leqslant P(|W(k\ell) - W(0)| > b_{n,k} \text{ for } \forall k \in \mathbb{N}) = p_n = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain that $q_n = 0$ for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Hence we have

$$P(\cup_{n=0} C_n) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q_n = 0.$$

Thus we established that

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} \frac{|W(n\ell) - W(0)|}{a^{n\ell} g(n\ell)} \leqslant 1 + \varepsilon.$$

Note that $\lim_{n\to\infty} a^n g(n) = \infty$ for $g \in \mathbb{G}_1$. Since $\varepsilon > 0$ can be arbitrarily small, we have proved the lemma. □

Lemma 3.7. *Let $g \in \mathbb{G}$. If $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P(|W(0)| \leqslant g(n)) = \infty$, then (3.6) holds.*

Proof Suppose that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P(|W(0)| \leqslant g(n)) = \infty$. We see from Lemma 3.5 that there exits $g^* \in \mathbb{G}_1$ such that $g(n) \leqslant g^*(n)$ on $\mathbb{Z}_+$ and, for every $\delta > 0$,

$$\sum_{n\in J} P(|W(0)| \leqslant \delta g^*(n)) < \infty, \tag{3.10}$$

where $J := \{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ : g(n) < g^*(n)\}$. Since $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P(|W(0)| \leqslant g^*(n)) = \infty$, we have by Lemma 3.6

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} \frac{|W(n)|}{a^n g^*(n)} \leqslant 1 \text{ a.s..} \tag{3.11}$$

If the set J is a finite set, then (3.6) clearly holds by (3.11). If the set J is an infinite set, then we can write J as

$$J = \{n_k : k \in \mathbb{N}, n_k \text{ is strictly increasing in } k\}.$$

We obtain from (3.10) as in the proof of Lemma 3.1 that

$$\liminf_{k\to\infty} \frac{|W(n_k)|}{a^{n_k} g^*(n_k)} = \infty \text{ a.s..}$$

Thus even in this case we have (3.6) by (3.11). □

Theorem 3.1. *Let $g \in \mathbb{G}$. Then there is $C \in [0, \infty]$ such that*

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} \frac{|W(n)|}{a^n g(n)} = C \text{ a.s..} \tag{3.12}$$

The constant C is determined by

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P(|W(0)| \leqslant \delta g(n)) \begin{cases} < \infty & \text{for } 0 < \delta < C \\ = \infty & \text{for } \delta > C. \end{cases}$$

Proof The proof is clear from Lemmas 3.1 and 3.7. □

The following lemma is due to Remark 5.2 of Watanabe [21].

Lemma 3.8. *Let $b > a$. We have*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{|W(n)|}{b^n} = 0 \text{ a.s..}$$

Lemma 3.9. *Let $a > b > 1$. We have*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{|W(n)|}{b^n} = \infty \text{ a.s..}$$

Proof We obtain from Lemma 3.4 that, for every $\delta > 0$,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P(|W(n)| \leqslant \delta b^n) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P(|W(0)| \leqslant \delta b^n a^{-n}) < \infty.$$

By virtue of lemma 3.1, we have proved the lemma. □

Theorem 3.2. *We have*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\log |W(n)|}{n} = \log a \text{ a.s..}$$

Proof Proof is due to Lemmas 3.8 and 3.9. □

The following lemma is due to Theorem 4.2 of Watanabe [21].

Lemma 3.10. *Let $\{W(n)\}$ be an increasing shift a-selfsimilar additive sequence on $\mathbb{R}_+$. There exists $g \in \mathbb{G}$ satisfying* (1.4) *if and only if $P(W(0) - W(-1) = 0) = 0$.*

Proof of Theorem 1.1. First we prove (i). We have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P(|W(0)| \leqslant \delta) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } 0 < \delta < D \\ \infty & \text{for } \delta > D. \end{cases}$$

Hence we established (i) from Theorem 3.1. Secondly we prove (ii). Suppose that $P(|W(0)| \leqslant r)$ is of dominated variation as $r \to 0+$ and (1.4) holds. We see from Theorem 3.1 that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P(|W(0)| \leqslant 2g(n)) = \infty$$

and

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P(|W(0)| \leqslant 2^{-1} g(n)) < \infty.$$

This is a conradiction. Thus if (1.4) holds, then $P(|W(0)| \leqslant r)$ is not of dominated variation as $r \to 0+$. Next suppose that $P(|W(0)| \leqslant r)$ is not of dominated variation

as $r \to 0+$. Further, by virtue of (i), we can assume that $D = 0$. Then there exists a decreasing sequence $\{a_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ such that $a_k > 0$, $a_{k+1} < a^{-1}a_k$ and

$$P(|W(0)| \leqslant a^{-1}a_k) \leqslant 2^{-k}P(|W(0)| \leqslant a_k) \tag{3.13}$$

for $k \geqslant 1$. We can choose an increasing sequence $\{b_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ of integers such that $b_1 = 0$ and, for $k \geqslant 1$,

$$2^{-1} \leqslant P(|W(0)| \leqslant a_k)(b_{k+1} - b_k) \leqslant 1. \tag{3.14}$$

We define $g \in \mathbb{G}$ as $g(n) := a_k$ for $b_k \leqslant n < b_{k+1}$ with $k \geqslant 1$. Then we have by (3.13) and (3.14)

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P(|W(0)| \leqslant g(n)) \geqslant \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-1} = \infty$$

and

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P(|W(0)| \leqslant a^{-1}g(n)) \leqslant \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-k} < \infty.$$

Hence we see from Theorem 3.1 that (3.12) holds for $C \in [a^{-1}, 1]$. We have (1.4) by replacing $g(n)$ with $Cg(n)$. □

Proof of Proposition 1.1. Let $W(n) = (W_j(n))_{j=1}^{d}$ and define an increasing shift a-selfsimilar additive sequence $\{Z(n)\}$ on $\mathbb{R}_+$ as

$$Z(n) := \sum_{j=1}^{d} W_j(n).$$

Then we have

$$\frac{Z(n)}{\sqrt{d}} \leqslant |W(n)| \leqslant Z(n) \tag{3.15}$$

and

$$\frac{Z(0) - Z(-1)}{\sqrt{d}} \leqslant |W(0) - W(-1)| \leqslant Z(0) - Z(-1). \tag{3.16}$$

Thus there exists $g \in \mathbb{G}$ satisfying (1.4) if and only if there exists $g \in \mathbb{G}$ such that

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} \frac{Z(n)}{a^n g(n)} = 1 \text{ a.s..}$$

Therefore we see from Lemma 3.10 and (3.16) that there exists $g \in \mathbb{G}$ satisfying (1.4) if and only if $P(Z(0) - Z(-1) = 0) = 0$, equivalently, $P(|W(0) - W(-1)| = 0) = 0$. Thus the proposition has been proved. □

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Suppose that $P(|W(0)| \leqslant r)$ is of dominated variation as $r \to 0+$. Note that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} F(g(n)) = \infty$$

if and only if, for every $\delta > 0$,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} F(\delta g(n)) = \infty.$$

Thus the theorem follows from Theorem 3.1. □

Proof of Proposition 1.2. We continue to use $Z(n)$ as in the proof of Proposition 1.1. Suppose that $\lambda := P(|W(0) - W(-1)| = 0) = P(Z(0) - Z(-1) = 0) > 0$. Define a Laplace transform $L_Z(u)$ for $u \geqslant 0$ as

$$L_Z(u) := E(\exp(-u(Z(0) - Z(-1))))$$

and a regularly varying function $K_Z(r)$ on $(0, 1]$ with index $-\log\lambda/\log a$ as $r \to 0+$ as

$$K_Z(r) := r^{-\log\lambda/\log a} \exp\Big(\int_1^{r^{-1}} \frac{\log L_Z(u) - \log\lambda}{u \log a} du\Big).$$

Then we see from Proposition 4.1 of Watanabe [21] that $P(Z(0) \leqslant r) \asymp K_Z(r)$ as $r \to 0+$. We obtain from (3.16) that $K_W(r) \asymp K_Z(r)$ as $r \to 0+$. Thus we see from (3.15) that, as $r \to 0+$,

$$P(|W(0)| \leqslant r) \asymp P(Z(0) \leqslant r) \asymp K_Z(r) \asymp K_W(r).$$

Therefore we have established Proposition 1.2 from Theorem 1.2. □

4. Escape rates for strictly stable Lévy processes

In this section, we show some laws of the iterated logarithm for transient strictly stable Lévy processes and complete the classical study of Taylor [18] on the rates of escape for those processes. Recurrent but not point recurrent cases are treated by Spitzer [16] and Takeuchi and Watanabe [17].

Definition 4.1. A Lévy process $\{S(t), t \in \mathbb{R}_+\}$ on $\mathbb{R}^d$ is called a *strictly stable Lévy process* if, for every $b > 0$, there is $c > 0$ such that

$$\{S(bt) \colon t \geqslant 0\} \stackrel{\mathrm{d}}{=} \{cS(t) \colon t \geqslant 0\}.$$

Let $\{S(t)\}$ be a strictly stable Lévy process on $\mathbb{R}^d$. We assume that the distribution of $S(1)$ is full. Then the distribution of $S(t)$ for $t > 0$ is absolutely continuous with a density $p(t, x)$ of class C^∞ in x. The index α is defined as $\alpha := \log b/\log c$ for $b \neq 1$ and satisfies $0 < \alpha \leqslant 2$.

Definition 4.2. The process $\{S(t)\}$ is called of *type I* if $p(1, 0) > 0$ and called of *type II* if $p(1, 0) = 0$.

Definition 4.3. Let $\{S(t)\}$ be a strictly stable Lévy process on a half space $\mathbb{H}^d := \{x : \langle z_0, x\rangle \geqslant 0$ for some $z_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}\}$ with index $0 < \alpha < 1$. We define constants $C^*, C_* \in [0, \infty]$ by

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(|S(1)| \leqslant \delta(\log n)^{(\alpha-1)/\alpha}) \begin{cases} < \infty & \text{for } 0 < \delta < C^* \\ = \infty & \text{for } \delta > C^*, \end{cases}$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(\log n)^{1-\alpha}P(|S(1)|\leqslant\delta(\log n)^{(\alpha-1)/\alpha})\begin{cases}<\infty & \text{for } 0<\delta<C_*\\ =\infty & \text{for } \delta>C_*.\end{cases}$$

The process $\{S(t)\}$ is transient if and only if $d>\alpha$. Taylor [18] proved that $\{S(t)\}$ is of type II if and only if $0<\alpha<1$ and the support of the distribution of $S(1)$ is included in a half space $\mathbb{H}^d$. Note that $\{S(t),t\in\mathbb{R}_+\}$ is a Sato process with exponent $1/\alpha$ and $\{S(b^n),n\in\mathbb{Z}\}$ for $b>1$ is a shift $b^{1/\alpha}$- selfsimilar additive sequence on $\mathbb{R}^d$. In the case of type I, the escape rates of $\{S(b^t),t\in\mathbb{R}^1\}$ and $\{S(b^n),n\in\mathbb{Z}\}$ are different for $d>\alpha$ as the following proposition and its remark show.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $b>1$ and $g(n)\in\mathbb{G}$ with $n\in\mathbb{Z}_+$. If $\{S(t)\}$ is of type I and if*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(g(n))^d=\infty\quad(\text{resp.}\quad<\infty), \tag{4.1}$$

then

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty}\frac{|S(b^n)|}{b^{n/\alpha}g(n)}=0\quad(\text{resp.}\quad=\infty)\quad\text{a.s..}$$

In particular, if $\{S(t)\}$ is of type I, then for $\varepsilon>0$

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty}\frac{|S(b^n)|}{b^{n/\alpha}n^{-1/d}(\log n)^{-(1+\varepsilon)/d}}=\infty\ \text{a.s..}$$

Proof Note that, for every $x\in\mathbb{R}^d$, $P(|S(1)|\leqslant r)\sim cr^d$ with some $c\in(0,\infty)$ as $r\to0+$. Thus we can prove the proposition by using Theorem 1.2. □

Remark 4.1. Let $b>1$. Dvoretzky-Erdös [3] for $\alpha=2$ and Taylor [18] for $\alpha\neq2$ showed the following. Let $\phi(t)$ be a positive decreasing function on $\mathbb{R}_+$. For $d>\alpha$, if $\{S(t)\}$ is of type I and if

$$\int_1^{\infty}\phi(t)^{d-\alpha}dt=\infty\quad(\text{resp.}\quad<\infty),$$

then

$$\liminf_{t\to\infty}\frac{|S(b^t)|}{b^{t/\alpha}\phi(t)}=0\quad(\text{resp.}\quad=\infty)\quad\text{a.s..}$$

In particular, if $\{S(t)\}$ is of type I, then for $d>\alpha$

$$\liminf_{t\to\infty}\frac{|S(b^t)|}{b^{t/\alpha}t^{-1/(d-\alpha)}}=0\ \text{a.s..}$$

The following lemma is a multi-dimensional extension of Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2 of Watanabe [19]

Lemma 4.1. *Let $\{X(t),t\in\mathbb{R}_+\}$ be a Sato process on $\mathbb{R}^d_+$ with exponent H. Let $\phi(t)$ be a positive decreasing function on $\mathbb{R}_+$. Then there is $C\in[0,\infty]$ such that*

$$\liminf_{t\to\infty}\frac{|X(t)|}{t^H\phi(t)}=C\ \text{a.s..} \tag{4.2}$$

The constant C is determined by

$$\int_1^\infty P(|X(1)| \leqslant \delta\phi(t))t^{-1}dt \begin{cases} < \infty & \text{for } 0 < \delta < C \\ = \infty & \text{for } \delta > C. \end{cases}$$

Proof Let $b > 1$. Note that $\phi(b^n) \in \mathbb{G}$ and $\{X(b^n)\}$ is a shift b^H-selfsimilar additive sequence on $\mathbb{R}^d_+$. We define $C_0 \in [0, \infty]$ by

$$\int_1^\infty P(|X(1)| \leqslant \delta\phi(t))t^{-1}dt \begin{cases} < \infty & \text{for } 0 < \delta < C_0 \\ = \infty & \text{for } \delta > C_0. \end{cases}$$

Since $\phi(t)$ is decreasing, we see that

$$\sum_{n=0}^\infty P(|X(1)| \leqslant \delta\phi(b^n)) \begin{cases} < \infty & \text{for } 0 < \delta < C_0 \\ = \infty & \text{for } \delta > C_0. \end{cases}$$

Thus we obtain from Theorem 3.1 that

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} \frac{|X(b^n)|}{b^{nH}\phi(b^n)} = C_0 \text{ a.s..}$$

Since $|X(t)|$ is increasing and $\phi(t)$ is decreasing, we have for $b^n < t \leqslant b^{n+1}$

$$\frac{|X(b^n)|}{b^{(n+1)H}\phi(b^n)} \leqslant \frac{|X(t)|}{t^H\phi(t)} \leqslant \frac{|X(b^{n+1})|}{b^{nH}\phi(b^{n+1})} \text{ a.s.}$$

and thereby

$$b^{-H}C_0 \leqslant \liminf_{t\to\infty} \frac{|X(t)|}{t^H\phi(t)} \leqslant b^H C_0 \text{ a.s..}$$

Letting $b \to 1$, we have (4.2) with $C = C_0$. □

Lemma 4.2. *Let $\{S(t)\}$ be a strictly stable Lévy process on $\mathbb{R}^d_+$ with index $0 < \alpha < 1$. Then we have as $r \to 0+$*

$$-\log P(|S(1)| \leqslant r) \asymp r^{\alpha/(\alpha-1)}. \tag{4.3}$$

Proof Let $S(t) = (S_j(t))_{j=1}^d$. Define a strictly stable Lévy process $\{S^*(t)\}$ on $\mathbb{R}_+$ with index $0 < \alpha < 1$ as $S^*(t) := \sum_{j=1}^d S_j(t)$. We see from Remark 14.18 of Sato [15] that there exists $c > 0$ such that as $r \to 0+$

$$-\log P(|S^*(1)| \leqslant r) \sim cr^{\alpha/(\alpha-1)}.$$

Thus since

$$\frac{|S^*(1)|}{\sqrt{d}} \leqslant |S(1)| \leqslant |S^*(1)|,$$

we have (4.3) as $r \to 0+$. □

Lemma 4.3. *Let $\{S(t)\}$ be a strictly stable Lévy process on a half space $\mathbb{H}^d$ with index $0 < \alpha < 1$. Then we have (4.3) as $r \to 0+$.*

Proof Without loss of generality, we can assume that $z_0 = {}^t(1, 0 \dots 0) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\mathbb{H}^d = \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$. We define a set $\mathbb{D}$ of disjoint orthants as

$$\mathbb{D} := \{D : D = \mathbb{R}_+ \times E_1 \times \cdots \times E_{d-1}, E_k = [0, \infty) \text{ or } (-\infty, 0) \text{ for } 1 \leqslant k \leqslant d-1\}.$$

Let $\{D_j\}_{j=1}^N$ be the totality of $D_j \in \mathbb{D}$ such that $\nu(D_j) \neq 0$. Let ν be the Lévy measure of the process $\{S(t)\}$ and define ν_j for $1 \leqslant j \leqslant N$ as $\nu_j(dx) := 1_{D_j}(x)\nu(dx)$. Let $\{X_j(t)\}$ for $1 \leqslant j \leqslant N$ be independent strictly stable Lévy processes with Lévy measures ν_j and index $0 < \alpha < 1$. Then we have

$$\{S(t) \colon t \geqslant 0\} \stackrel{\mathrm{d}}{=} \{\sum_{j=1}^N X_j(t) \colon t \geqslant 0\}.$$

Define a strictly stable Lévy process $\{S_*(t)\}$ on $\mathbb{R}_+$ with index $0 < \alpha < 1$ as $S_*(t) := \langle z_0, S(t)\rangle$. Since $|S_*(1)| \leqslant |S(1)| \leqslant \sum_{j=1}^N |X_j(1)|$, we have

$$\prod_{j=1}^N P(|X_j(1)| \leqslant N^{-1}r) \leqslant P(|S(1)| \leqslant r) \leqslant P(|S_*(1)| \leqslant r).$$

Thus from Lemmas 4.2 we obtain (4.3) as $r \to 0+$. □

Lemma 4.4. *Let $\{S(t), t \in \mathbb{R}_+\}$ be a strictly stable Lévy process on a half space $\mathbb{H}^d$ with index $0 < \alpha < 1$. Then we have*

$$0 < C_* \leqslant C^* < \infty.$$

Proof The proof is clear from Lemma 4.3. □

Theorem 4.1. *Let $\{S(t)\}$ be a strictly stable Lévy process on $\mathbb{R}_+^d$ with index $0 < \alpha < 1$. Then we have*

$$\liminf_{t\to\infty} \frac{|S(t)|}{t^{1/\alpha}(\log\log t)^{(\alpha-1)/\alpha}} = C^* \text{ a.s..} \tag{4.4}$$

Proof Let $\phi(t) = (\log\log t)^{(\alpha-1)/\alpha}$. Then we obtain (4.4) from (4.2) of Lemma 4.1. The constant C in (4.2) is determined by

$$\int_e^\infty P(|S(1)| \leqslant \delta(\log\log t)^{(\alpha-1)/\alpha})t^{-1}dt \begin{cases} < \infty & \text{for } 0 < \delta < C \\ = \infty & \text{for } \delta > C. \end{cases}$$

That is, $C = C^*$. □

The following lemma is a modification of Theorem 1.1 of Khoshnevisan [9].

Lemma 4.5. *Let $\{Z(t)\}$ be a Lévy process on $\mathbb{R}^d$. For any $0 < b < c$, $\gamma > 0$, and $\varepsilon > 0$, we have*

$$P(|Z(t)| \leqslant \gamma \text{ for some } b \leqslant t \leqslant c) \leqslant \frac{\int_b^{2c-b} P(|Z(t)| \leqslant (1+\varepsilon)\gamma)dt}{\int_0^{c-b} P(|Z(t)| \leqslant \varepsilon\gamma)dt}$$

Proof Define $T := \inf\{t \geqslant b : |Z(t)| \leqslant \gamma\}$. Then we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_b^{2c-b} P(|Z(t)| \leqslant (1+\varepsilon)\gamma)dt \\
&\geqslant P(T \leqslant c) \int_b^{2c-b} P(|Z(t)| \leqslant (1+\varepsilon)\gamma | T \leqslant c)dt \\
&\geqslant P(T \leqslant c) \inf_{|x|\leqslant\gamma} \int_0^{c-b} P(|x+Z(t)| \leqslant (1+\varepsilon)\gamma)dt \\
&\geqslant P(T \leqslant c) \int_0^{c-b} P(|Z(t)| \leqslant \varepsilon\gamma)dt
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we have proved the lemma. □

The following is a multi-dimensional extension of a result of Fristedt [4].

Theorem 4.2. *Let $\{S(t)\}$ be a strictly stable Lévy process on a half space $\mathbb{H}^d$ with index $0 < \alpha < 1$. Then $0 < C_* \leqslant C^* < \infty$ and there is $C \in [C_*, C^*]$ such that*

$$\liminf_{t\to\infty} \frac{|X(t)|}{t^{1/\alpha}(\log\log t)^{(\alpha-1)/\alpha}} = C \text{ a.s..} \tag{4.5}$$

Proof Lemma 4.4 says that $0 < C_* \leqslant C^* < \infty$. By virtue of Kolmogorov's 0-1 law, we have (4.5) for $C \in [0, \infty]$. Let $W(n) := S(e^n)$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and

$$g(n) := (\log\log e^n)^{(\alpha-1)/\alpha} = (\log n)^{(\alpha-1)/\alpha}$$

for $n \geqslant 2$. Then we see from the definition of C^* and Theorem 3.1 that

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} \frac{|S(e^n)|}{e^{n/\alpha}(\log\log e^n)^{(\alpha-1)/\alpha}} = C^* \text{ a.s.}$$

Thus we obtain that $C \leqslant C^*$. Fix a small $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$. Then we choose $b > 1$ satisfying

$$1 - \varepsilon < b^{1/\alpha}(1-\varepsilon^2) < 1.$$

Let

$$\gamma_n := C_*(1-\varepsilon)b^{(n+1)/\alpha}(\log(n+1))^{(\alpha-1)/\alpha}$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and put

$$c_1 := \int_0^\infty P(|S(1)| \leqslant u^{-1/\alpha}\varepsilon)du.$$

We see from Lemma 4.3 that $c_1 < \infty$. Thus there exists $c_2 > 0$ such that, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1(n) &:= \int_0^{b^{n+1}-b^n} P(|S(t)| \leqslant \varepsilon\gamma_n)dt \\
&= \int_0^{b^{n+1}-b^n} P(|S(1)| \leqslant t^{-1/\alpha}\varepsilon\gamma_n)dt \\
&= \gamma_n^\alpha \int_0^{(b^{n+1}-b^n)\gamma_n^{-\alpha}} P(|S(1)| \leqslant u^{-1/\alpha}\varepsilon)du. \\
&\geqslant c_2 b^{n+1}(\log(n+1))^{\alpha-1}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

On the other hand, we have for $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_2(n) &:= \int_{b^n}^{2b^{n+1}-b^n} P(|S(t)| \leqslant (1+\varepsilon)\gamma_n)dt \\
&= \int_{b^n}^{2b^{n+1}-b^n} P(|S(1)| \leqslant t^{-1/\alpha}(1+\varepsilon)\gamma_n)dt \\
&\leqslant 2(b^{n+1}-b^n)P(|S(1)| \leqslant C_* b^{1/\alpha}(1-\varepsilon^2)(\log(n+1))^{(\alpha-1)/\alpha}).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.7}
$$

Thus we obtain from Lemma 4.5, (4.6), and (4.7) that there exists $c_3 > 0$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(|S(t)| \leqslant \gamma_n \text{ for some } b^n \leqslant t \leqslant b^{n+1}) \\
\leqslant &\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{I_2(n)}{I_1(n)} \\
\leqslant &\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_3(\log(n+1))^{1-\alpha} P(|S(1)| \leqslant C_* b^{1/\alpha}(1-\varepsilon^2)(\log(n+1))^{(\alpha-1)/\alpha}) < \infty.
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus by the Borel-Cantelli lemma, we have

$$
\liminf_{n\to\infty} \inf_{b^n \leqslant t \leqslant b^{n+1}} \frac{|S(t)|}{b^{(n+1)/\alpha}(\log(n+1))^{(\alpha-1)/\alpha}} \geqslant C_*(1-\varepsilon) \text{ a.s..}
$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrarily small, we have $C \geqslant C_*$ and hence $C_* \leqslant C \leqslant C^*$. □

5. LIL for independent Brownian motions

In this section, we show some laws of the iterated logarithm (LIL in short) for independent Brownian motions with an explicit constant. Define the norm $|x|^* := \sup_{1\leqslant j\leqslant d} |x_j|$ for $x = (x_j)_{j=1}^d \in \mathbb{R}^d$. We see from the proofs of previous results that the use of the norm $|x|^*$ instead of the norm $|x|$ does not harm the validity of the following lemmas. The first one is due to Lemma 4.1.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $\{X(t), t \in \mathbb{R}_+\}$ be a Sato process on $\mathbb{R}^d_+$ with exponent H. Let $\phi(t)$ be a positive decreasing function on $\mathbb{R}_+$. Then there is $C \in [0,\infty]$ such that*

$$
\liminf_{t\to\infty} \frac{|X(t)|^*}{t^H \phi(t)} = C \text{ a.s..} \tag{5.1}
$$

The constant C is determined by

$$
\int_1^{\infty} P(|X(1)|^* \leqslant \delta\phi(t)))t^{-1}dt \begin{cases} < \infty & \text{for } 0 < \delta < C \\ = \infty & \text{for } \delta > C. \end{cases}
$$

Lemma 5.2. *Let $\{X(t), t \in \mathbb{R}_+\}$ be a Sato process on $\mathbb{R}^d_+$ with exponent H. We have*

$$
\lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{\log(|X(t)|^*)}{\log t} = H \text{ a.s..} \tag{5.2}
$$

Proof Let $b > 1$. Since $|X(t)|^*$ is increasing, we have for $b^n < t \leqslant b^{n+1}$

$$\frac{\log(|X(b^n)|^*)}{\log b^{n+1}} \leqslant \frac{\log(|X(t)|^*)}{\log t} \leqslant \frac{\log(|X(b^{n+1})|^*)}{\log b^n} \text{ a.s..}$$

We see from Theorem 3.2 that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\log(|X(b^n)|^*)}{n} = H \log b \text{ a.s..}$$

Thus we obtain (5.2). □

Let $\{B_j(t)\}$ be independent Brownian motions on $\mathbb{R}^d$ starting at the origin for $1 \leqslant j \leqslant N$ with $N \in \mathbb{N}$. For $r \geqslant 0$, define the first hitting time $\{T_j(r)\}$ to the sphere $S^{d-1}(r) := \{x : |x| = r\}$ for $d \geqslant 1$ and the last exit time $\{L_j(r)\}$ from $S^{d-1}(r)$ for $d \geqslant 3$ as

$$T_j(r) := \inf\{t \geqslant 0 : |B_j(t)| = r\}$$

and

$$L_j(r) := \sup\{t \geqslant 0 : |B_j(t)| = r\}.$$

Let $T(t) := (T_j(t))_{j=1}^N$ and $L(t) := (L_j(t))_{j=1}^N$. Then, by virtue of Getoor [5], $\{T(t)\}$ and $\{L(t)\}$ are Sato processes on $\mathbb{R}_+^N$ with $H = 2$.

Remark 5.1. We have by Lemma 5.2

$$\lim_{r\to\infty} \frac{\log(\sup_{1\leqslant j\leqslant N} T_j(r))}{\log r} = 2 \text{ a.s.} \tag{5.3}$$

and, for $d \geqslant 3$,

$$\lim_{r\to\infty} \frac{\log(\sup_{1\leqslant j\leqslant N} L_j(r))}{\log r} = 2 \text{ a.s..}$$

Lemma 5.3. *Let c_1, c_2 be positive constants. We have as $r \to 0+$*

$$P(T_1(1) \leqslant r) \sim c_1 \exp(-1/(2r)) r^{-d/2+1} \tag{5.4}$$

and

$$P(L_1(1) \leqslant r) \sim c_2 \exp(-1/(2r)) r^{-d/2+2}. \tag{5.5}$$

Proof The relation (5.4) is due to Theorem 2.1 of Gruet and Shi [6] and (5.5) is due to Theorem of Getoor [5]. □

Theorem 5.1. *We have*

$$\liminf_{r\to\infty} \frac{\sup_{1\leqslant j\leqslant N} T_j(r)}{r^2(\log\log r)^{-1}} = \frac{N}{2} \text{ a.s.} \tag{5.6}$$

and, for $d \geqslant 3$,

$$\liminf_{r\to\infty} \frac{\sup_{1\leqslant j\leqslant N} L_j(r)}{r^2(\log\log r)^{-1}} = \frac{N}{2} \text{ a.s..} \tag{5.7}$$

Proof. We use the norm $|x|^* := \sup_{1\leqslant j\leqslant N}|x_j|$ for $x=(x_j)_{j=1}^N \in \mathbb{R}_+^N$. We have by (5.4) of Lemma 5.3

$$P(|T(1)|^* \leqslant r) = (P(T_1(1)\leqslant r))^N \sim c_1^N \exp(-N/(2r))r^{(-d/2+1)N}.$$

Thus we see that

$$\int_e^\infty P(|T(1)|^* \leqslant \delta(\log\log t)^{-1})t^{-1}dt \begin{cases} <\infty & \text{for } 0<\delta<N/2 \\ =\infty & \text{for } \delta > N/2. \end{cases}$$

Hence we obtain (5.6) from (5.1) of Lemma 5.1. In the same way, we have (5.7) by (5.5) of Lemma 5.3. □

Theorem 5.2. *We have*

$$\limsup_{t\to\infty}\inf_{1\leqslant j\leqslant N}\frac{\sup_{0\leqslant s\leqslant t}|B_j(s)|}{\sqrt{t\log\log t}} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{N}} \text{ a.s.} \tag{5.8}$$

and, for $d \geqslant 3$,

$$\limsup_{t\to\infty}\inf_{1\leqslant j\leqslant N}\frac{\inf_{t\leqslant s}|B_j(s)|}{\sqrt{t\log\log t}} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{N}} \text{ a.s..} \tag{5.9}$$

Proof We prove only (5.8). The proof of (5.9) is analogous by using (5.7) of Theorem 5.1 and is omitted. Thanks to Kolmogorov's 0-1 law, we find that there is a constant $C\in[0,\infty]$ such that

$$\limsup_{t\to\infty}\inf_{1\leqslant j\leqslant N}\frac{\sup_{0\leqslant s\leqslant t}|B_j(s)|}{\sqrt{t\log\log t}} = C \text{ a.s..} \tag{5.10}$$

We see from (5.6) of Theorem 5.1 that there exists a random sequence $\{r_n\}=\{r_n(\omega)\}$ such that $r_n\to\infty$ as $n\to\infty$ and that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{\sup_{1\leqslant j\leqslant N}T_j(r_n)}{r_n^2(\log\log r_n)^{-1}} = \frac{N}{2} \text{ a.s..} \tag{5.11}$$

Denote $t_n := \sup_{1\leqslant j\leqslant N}T_j(r_n)$. Then we have

$$r_n = \inf_{1\leqslant j\leqslant N}\sup_{0\leqslant s\leqslant t_n}|B_j(s)|.$$

We find from (5.3) of Remark 5.1 that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{\log t_n}{\log r_n} = 2 \text{ a.s..}$$

Thus we have by (5.11)

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{t_n}{r_n^2(\log\log t_n)^{-1}} = \frac{N}{2} \text{ a.s.}$$

and hence $C\geqslant\sqrt{\frac{2}{N}}$. Suppose that there exists a random sequence $\{s_n\}=\{s_n(\omega)\}$ such that $s_n\to\infty$ as $n\to\infty$ and that

$$C = \lim_{n\to\infty}\inf_{1\leqslant j\leqslant N}\frac{\sup_{0\leqslant s\leqslant s_n}|B_j(s)|}{(s_n\log\log s_n)^{1/2}} > \sqrt{\frac{2}{N}} \text{ a.s..} \tag{5.12}$$

Denote $u_n := \inf_{1\leqslant j\leqslant N} \sup_{0\leqslant s\leqslant s_n} |B_j(s)|$. Then we have

$$s_n \geqslant \sup_{1\leqslant j\leqslant N} T_j(u_n). \tag{5.13}$$

We see from (5.3) of Remark 5.1 that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\log(\sup_{1\leqslant j\leqslant N} T_j(u_n))}{\log u_n} = 2 \text{ a.s..}$$

Thus we have by (5.12) and (5.13)

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} \frac{\sup_{1\leqslant j\leqslant N} T_j(u_n)}{u_n^2(\log\log u_n)^{-1}} \leqslant \frac{1}{C^2} < \frac{N}{2} \text{ a.s..}$$

This is a contradiction. Hence we have established that $C = \sqrt{\frac{2}{N}}$ in (5.10). □

Remark 5.2. The symbol "$\sup_{0\leq s\leq t} |B(s)|$" in (5.8) of Theorem 5.2 can be replaced by $|B(t)|$. For $N = 1$, (5.8) is due to Khintchine [8] and (5.9) is to Khoshnevisan et al. [10].

References

[1] Breiman, L.: Probability. Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Reading, Mass.-London-Don Mills, Ont. (1968)

[2] Bunge J.: Nested classes of C-decomposable laws, Ann. Probab. **25,** 215-229 (1997)

[3] Dvoretzky, A., Erdös, P.: Some problems on random walk in space. Proceedings of the Second Berkeley Symposium on Mathematical Statistics and Probability, 1950. pp. 353-367. University of California Press, Berkeley and Los Angeles, (1951)

[4] Fristedt, B. : The behavior of increasing stable processes for both small and large times. J. Math. Mech. **13,** 849-856 (1964)

[5] Getoor, R. K.: The Brownian escape process. Ann. Probab. **7**, 864-867 (1979)

[6] Gruet, J.C., Shi, Z. : The occupation time of Brownian motion in a ball. J. Theoret. Probab. **9**, 429-445 (1996)

[7] Jeanblanc, M., Pitman, J., Yor, M.: Self-similar processes with independent increments associated with Lévy and Bessel. Stochastic Process. Appl. **100**, 223-231 (2002)

[8] Khintchine, A. : Asymptotische Gesetze der Wahrschenlichkeitsrechnung, Springer, Berlin (1933)

[9] Khoshnevisan, D. : Escape rates for Lévy processes. Studia Sci. Math. Hungar. **33,** 177-183 (1997)

[10] Khoshnevisan, D., Lewis, T. M., Li, W. V. : On the future infima of some transient processes. Probab. Theory Related Fields **99**, 337-360 (1994)

[11] Maejima, M., Sato K.: Semi-selfsimilar processes. J. Theoret. Probab. **12,** 347-373 (1999)

[12] Maejima, M., Sato, K.: Semi-Lévy processes, semi-selfsimilar additive processes, and semi-stationary Ornstein-Uhlenbeck type processes. J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **43**, 609-639 (2003)

[13] Pruitt, W. E.: The rate of escape of random walk. Ann. Probab. **18**, 1417-1461 (1990)

[14] Sato, K.: Self-similar processes with independent increments. Probab. Theory Related Fields **89**, 285-300 (1991)

[15] Sato, K.: Lévy processes and infinitely divisible distributions. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 68. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, (1999)

[16] Spitzer, F. : Some theorems concerning 2-dimensional Brownian motion. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **87,** 187-197 (1958)

[17] Takeuchi, J., Watanabe, S. : Spitzer's test for the Cauchy process on the line. Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verw. Gebiete **3**, 204-210 (1964)
[18] Taylor, S. J. : Sample path properties of a transient stable process. J. Math. Mech. **16,** 1229-1246 (1967)
[19] Watanabe, T.: Sample function behavior of increasing processes of class L. Probab. Theory Related Fields **104**, 349-374 (1996)
[20] Watanabe, T.: Continuity properties of distributions with some decomposability. J. Theoret. Probab. **13,** 169-192 (2000)
[21] Watanabe, T.: Limit theorems for shift selfsimilar additive random sequences, Osaka J. Math. **39**, 561-603 (2002)
[22] Watanabe, T.: Shift self-similar additive random sequences associated with supercritical branching processes, J. Theoret. Probab. **15**, 631-665 (2002)
[23] Watanabe, T.: Exact packing measure on the boundary of a Galton-Watson tree. J. London Math. Soc. **69,** 801-816 (2004)
[24] Watanabe, T.: Exact Hausdorff measure on the boundary of a Galton-Watson tree. Ann. Probab. **35,** 1007-1038 (2007)